Application No. Applicant(s) 09/818,424 AZIZ, ASHAR Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2142 Mehmet B. Geckil All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mehmet B. Geckil. (2) Edward Becker. Date of Interview: 17 May 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: _____. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f(X) was reached. f(X) was not reached. f(X)Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called the attorney to indicate that claims 1-40 were allowable except that claims 38 and 40 has to be fixed for 101 problems. Attorney authorized examiner to amend the claims through Examiner's Amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required